

# Cabinet



St Edmundsbury  
BOROUGH COUNCIL

<b>Title of Report:</b>	<b>Report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee: 22 April 2015</b>	
<b>Report No:</b>	<b>CAB/SE/15/030</b>	
<b>Report to and date:</b>	<b>Cabinet</b>	28 May 2015
<b>Chairman of the Committee:</b>	Ian Houlder (Outgoing Chairman) Chairman of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee <b>Tel:</b> 01284 810074 <b>Email:</b> <a href="mailto:ian.houlder@stedsbc.gov.uk">ian.houlder@stedsbc.gov.uk</a>	
<b>Lead officer:</b>	Christine Brain Scrutiny Officer <b>Tel:</b> 01638 719729 <b>Email:</b> <a href="mailto:christine.brain@westsuffolk.gov.uk">christine.brain@westsuffolk.gov.uk</a>	
<b>Purpose of report:</b>	On 22 April 2015, the Overview and Scrutiny Committee considered the following items:  (1) Update on On-Street Parking, Skyliner Way, Bury St Edmunds;  (2) Quarter 4 Directed Surveillance Authorised Applications; and  (3) Work Programme Update.	
<b>Recommendation:</b>	<b>The Cabinet is requested to <u>NOTE</u> the content of Report CAB/SE/15/030, being the report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.</b>	
<b>Key Decision:</b>  <i>(Check the appropriate box and delete all those that <b>do not</b> apply.)</i>	<p><i>Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?</i></p> <p>Yes, it is a Key Decision - <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No, it is not a Key Decision - <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Report for information only.</p>	
<b>Consultation:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• See Reports listed under background papers below</li> </ul>	

<b>Alternative option(s):</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See Reports listed under background papers below</li> </ul>	
<b>Implications:</b>			
Are there any <b>financial</b> implications? <i>If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See Reports listed under background papers below</li> </ul>	
Are there any <b>staffing</b> implications? <i>If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See Reports listed under background papers below</li> </ul>	
Are there any <b>ICT</b> implications? <i>If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See Reports listed under background papers below</li> </ul>	
Are there any <b>legal and/or policy</b> implications? <i>If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See Reports listed under background papers below</li> </ul>	
Are there any <b>equality</b> implications? <i>If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See Reports listed under background papers below</li> </ul>	
<b>Risk/opportunity assessment:</b>		<i>(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)</i>	
<b>Risk area</b>	<b>Inherent level of risk</b> (before controls)	<b>Controls</b>	<b>Residual risk</b> (after controls)
See Reports listed under background papers below			
<b>Ward(s) affected:</b>		All Wards	
<b>Background papers:</b> <i>(all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)</i>		<a href="#">Report OAS/SE/15/004 – Update on On-Street Parking, Skyliner Way, Bury St Edmunds</a>  <a href="#">Report OAS/SE/15/005 – Work Programme Update</a>  All considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 22 April 2015	
<b>Documents attached:</b>		None	

## **1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation**

### **1.1 Update on On-Street Parking, Skyliner Way, Bury St Edmunds (Report No: OAS/SE/15/004)**

1.1.1 The Committee received an update on progress made following consideration of this item on 3 September 2014. At that meeting it was recommended that a number of options be explored to see whether improvements could be made to alleviate the parking issues in Skyliner Way, Bury St Edmunds. The Head of Planning and Growth was also asked to work with colleagues at Suffolk County Council and to report back to the Committee on a quarterly basis.

1.1.2 The Committee acknowledged that it would be expensive to create a layby, but felt this was the most viable option and that any parking restrictions should not be implemented until all options for a layby had been exhausted. Members were concerned that traffic would continue to increase and that the infrastructure for the Eastern Relief Road was several years away.

1.1.3 The Committee suggested that the update report on 22 July 2015 should include more information, including an update on the testing of the layby; rough costings and funding options (including relevant criteria); maps/plans indicating pinch points; potential alternative places to park; businesses releasing unused parking spaces and the number of cars using Skyliner Way.

1.1.4 For **NOTING** by Cabinet, the Committee recommended that:

- (1) the Head of Planning and Growth be instructed to write to Suffolk County Council Highways setting out that no parking restrictions should be imposed until all options for a layby had been explored for Skyliner Way, Bury St Edmunds; and
- (2) the July 2015 progress report to the Committee should include maps/plans highlighting pinch points; potential alternative parking places; rough costings and alternative funding options.

### **1.2 Quarter 4 Directed Surveillance Authorised Applications (Verbal Report)**

1.2.1 The Regulation of Investigatory Powers (Directed Surveillance and Covert Human Intelligence Sources) Order 2010 requires that Members should scrutinise the authority's use of its surveillance powers on a quarterly basis. In June 2010 it was agreed that this requirement should be fulfilled by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. The Monitoring Officer had advised that in Quarter 4 no such surveillance had been authorised.

### **1.3 Work Programme Update (Report No: OAS/SE/15/005)**

1.3.1 The Overview and Scrutiny Committees has a rolling work programme, whereby suggestions for scrutiny reviews are brought to each meeting, and if accepted, are timetabled to report to a future meeting. The work programme also leaves space for Call-ins and Councillor Calls for Action. Members **NOTED** the items expected to be presented to the Committee during 2015/2016.